EXHIBIT 7

		1	
_	Page 1		Page 3
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	1	INDEX PAGE
3	EASTERN DIVISION	2	Appearances
4	SERGEY MAYOROV,) Plaintiff,)	3	MAYRA REYNOSO
5	vs.) No. 13 CV 5249	4	MR. RAJADURAI
6) Judge Rebecca R. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Pallmeyer	5	
7	Defendant.	6	
8	,	7	REYNOSO EXHIBITS PAGE
9		8	1
10		9	347
11		10	
12	The deposition of MAYRA REYNOSO, taken	11	
13	pursuant to the Federal Rules of Procedure, before	12	
14	Melody A. Monk, Certified Shorthand Reporter No.	13	
15	084-004772, at National Immigrant Justice Center,	14	
16	208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1300, Chicago,	15	
17	Illinois, on Friday, June 6, 2014, commencing at	16	
18	10:10 a.m. pursuant to notice.	17 18	
19		19	
20		20	
21 22		21	
23		22	
24		23	
		24	
		25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1		_	MANDA DENNOGO
2	APPEARANCES	1	MAYRA REYNOSO,
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	2	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
4	ABIMAN RAJADURAI Littler Mendelson, PC	3	sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION
5	321 North Clark Street Suite 1000	4	
6	Chicago, Illinois 60654 312.795.3232	5	BY MR. RAJADURAI:
7	312.275.7110 (fax) Arajadurai@littler.com	6	Q. Good morning.
8	And	7	A. Good morning.
9	MARK FLEMING	8	Q. My name is Abiman Rajadurai. I
10 11	National Immigrant Justice Center 208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1300	9	represent the plaintiff, Sergey Mayorov, in this
12	Chicago, Illinois 60604 312.660.1628 312.660.1505 (fax)	10	case.
13	Mfleming@heartlandalliance.org	11	Could you state your name and spell
14	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	12	your name?
15	JAMES M. KUHN, SR.	13	A. Mayra Reynoso, M-A-Y-R-A, Reynoso,
16	Assistant United States Attorney	14	R-E-Y-N-O-S-O.
17	219 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604 312.3531877	15	Q. And what's your date of birth?
18	James.kuhn@usdoj.gov	16	A. 5/31/77. MB KUHNI Wait Stan
19		17	MR. KUHN: Wait. Stop.
20		18	THE WITNESS: Oh.
21		19	MR. KUHN: You don't need that, do you?
22		20	Let's we'll take we'll strike that from the
23		21	record. You can just tell him how old you are.
24		22	THE WITNESS: Okay.
		23	A. 37.
		24	Q. And have you ever been deposed before?
		1	

	IED STATES OF AMERICA			e 0, 201
		Page 5		Page 7
1	A. No.	1	A. No.	
2	Q. Okay. I'll just go through some ground	2	Q. Okay. Did you attend high school?	
3	rules.	3	A. Yes.	
4	A. Okay.	4	Q. Where did you attend high school?	
5	Q. It's a question-and-answer format.	5	A. Whiting High School.	
6	I'll ask a question, and if you'd let me finish	6	Q. Okay. Did you graduate?	
7	before you go ahead, that will help the transcript,	7	A. Yes, I did.	
8	and then I'll wait until you're done with your	8	Q. Did you attend college?	
9	answer.	9	A. Yes.	
.0	If you don't understand a question, ask	10	Q. Where did you attend college?	
.1	me and I'll clarify it and help you understand.	11	A. Purdue University.	
2	Your attorney may object. Unless he says do not	12	Q. Did you graduate there?	
.3	answer, go ahead and answer. You have to answer	13	A. Yes, I did.	
.4	verbally instead of nodding or using hand gestures	14	Q. What was your degree in?	
.5	just so it can be recorded.	15	A. Bachelor of Arts in Sociology, Criminal	
.6	We can take a break at any time. I	16	Justice, and Spanish International Studies.	
7	prefer if a question is pending, that an answer be	17	Q. Any additional schooling beyond	
.8	given before we take the break.	18	undergrad?	
9	A. Okay.	19	A. No.	
0	Q. And then, I guess, are there are you	20	Q. Any other professional certifications	
1	taking any medication now which would prevent you	21	that you've received?	
2	from testifying completely or truthfully?	22	A. Certificate of translation in Spanish	
3	A. No.	23	from Purdue University as well.	
4	Q. Okay. Any other reason that you don't	24	Q. Okay. And what was your first job	
		Page 6		Page
1	think we should move forward with this deposition	1	after graduating college?	
2	today?	2	A. I worked as a security supervisor at	
3	A. No.	3	Horseshoe Casino.	
4	Q. Great.	4	Q. Okay. And what were your job duties	
5	MR. KUHN: I, I have one, but we'll	5	there?	
6	skip it.	6	A. Supervise security officers, as well as	
7	MR. FLEMING: And one thing, for the	7	boarding and under a ensuring there was no	
8	court reporter, to speak slowly; otherwise, it gets	8	underage boarding going at the casino, or any type	
9	hard for her to be able to	9	of scheming, panhandling, et cetera.	
	THE WITNESS: Okay.	10		
U	THE WITHESS. ORay.	1 - 0	Q. Okay. And what years were you employed	
		11	Q. Okay. And what years were you employed there?	
1	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare			
.1 .2	MR. FLEMING: get things down.	11	there?	
.1 .2 .3	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare	11 12	there? A. 1999 through 2003.	
.1 .2 .3	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in	11 12 13	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job?	
.1 .2 .3 .4	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition?	11 12 13 14	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for	
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in Attorney Kuhn's office relating to this case. Q. Okay. And did you bring any of those	11 12 13 14 15	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job? A. I was hired by Immigration Naturalization Service in 2003.	
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in Attorney Kuhn's office relating to this case. Q. Okay. And did you bring any of those documents with you?	11 12 13 14 15	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job? A. I was hired by Immigration Naturalization Service in 2003. Q. And what was your first position there?	
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in Attorney Kuhn's office relating to this case. Q. Okay. And did you bring any of those documents with you? A. No.	11 12 13 14 15 16	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job? A. I was hired by Immigration Naturalization Service in 2003. Q. And what was your first position there? A. I was an immigration inspector.	
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in Attorney Kuhn's office relating to this case. Q. Okay. And did you bring any of those documents with you? A. No. Q. Okay. And are you aware of whether	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job? A. I was hired by Immigration Naturalization Service in 2003. Q. And what was your first position there? A. I was an immigration inspector. Q. Okay. What were your responsibilities	
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in Attorney Kuhn's office relating to this case. Q. Okay. And did you bring any of those documents with you? A. No. Q. Okay. And are you aware of whether those documents have been produced in this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job? A. I was hired by Immigration Naturalization Service in 2003. Q. And what was your first position there? A. I was an immigration inspector. Q. Okay. What were your responsibilities under that role?	
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in Attorney Kuhn's office relating to this case. Q. Okay. And did you bring any of those documents with you? A. No. Q. Okay. And are you aware of whether those documents have been produced in this litigation?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job? A. I was hired by Immigration Naturalization Service in 2003. Q. And what was your first position there? A. I was an immigration inspector. Q. Okay. What were your responsibilities under that role? A. I worked at a port of entry, which was	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FLEMING: get things down. Q. Did you review any documents to prepare for this deposition? A. Yes, I saw a couple of documents in Attorney Kuhn's office relating to this case. Q. Okay. And did you bring any of those documents with you? A. No. Q. Okay. And are you aware of whether those documents have been produced in this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there? A. 1999 through 2003. Q. Okay. And what was your reason for leaving that job? A. I was hired by Immigration Naturalization Service in 2003. Q. And what was your first position there? A. I was an immigration inspector. Q. Okay. What were your responsibilities under that role?	

	Page 9			Page 11
1	Q. Okay. And how long were you in that	1	A. I was stationed in various locations	
2	position?	2	throughout those rotations. I was at the Broadview	
3	A. I was there until September 2006.	3	service staging area where I would deal primarily	
4	Q. Okay. And what was your reason for	4	with detention functions, like transport and the	
5	leaving that position?	5	detention of the person in our custody while they	
6	A. I went to work for Immigration and	6	were being processed or whatever. And then I also	
7	Customs Enforcement in October 2006.	7	did the Criminal Alien Program, CAP, where I did	
8	Q. Okay. And what was your first position	8	probably around three years at the Stateville	
9	with ICE?	9	Correctional facility.	
10	A. Immigration enforcement agent.	10	Q. Okay. And when you started as an IEA,	
11	Q. Okay. And is that your current	11	did you receive any sort of training through a	
12	position?	12	seminar or an academy?	
13	A. No.	13	A. No. My primary training was IOBTC,	
14	Q. What's your current position?	14	Immigration Officer Basic Training. And that was	
15	A. Deportation officer.	15	through INS in 2003.	
16	Q. Okay. Is it fair to just call the	16	Q. And what kind of subject matter was	
17	Immigration Enforcement Authority IEA?	17	covered in that training?	
18	A. Immigration enforcement agent?	18	A. It was both, both inadmissibility and	
19	Q. Yeah.	19	deportability.	
20	A. Yeah.	20	Q. Okay. And do you remember who	
21	Q. As an IEA, what were your job duties	21	conducted the training?	
22	and responsibilities?	22	A. No, not to be quite honest, no.	
23	A. It, it varied. It went as far as	23	There was various instructors. There was various	
24	picking up individuals at prisons, or taking them	24	classes. I that was like 11 years ago. I don't	
	Page 10			Page 12
1	for fingerprints, or if there was some sort of	1	remember exactly any names.	
2	medical situation, sometimes accompanying them at a	2	Q. No problem.	
3	hospital, or with, with juveniles, maybe a hotel	3	Turning to your time at Stateville,	
4	detail and escorting them back to their home	4	what, what years were you at Stateville?	
5	country if they were being deported.	5	A. Up until the point that I was promoted	
6	Q. Okay. And who did you report to?	6	to deportation officer, which was I don't	
7	A. Depending on the rotation	7	remember. It was like two, three years it's	
8	Q. Sure.	8	going on three years. So it was 2011 I was hired	
9	A it was a supervisory immigration	9	or promoted, right? 2011? No, 2012, I was	
10	enforcement agent.	10	promoted to deportation officer. I might have been	
11	Q. Okay. And do you remember his or her	11	there from 2009, possibly, through 2012. I mean,	
12	name?	12	it's an approximate. I can't remember the exact	
13	A. There was multiple.	13	Q. Sure.	
14	Q. Okay. I guess, let's start with, how	14	A dates.	
15	many rotations did you have as an IEA?	15	Q. And would you say that was a change in	
16	A. Oh, my God. I do not remember, to be	16	rotation?	
17	quite honest, because I was an IEA from '06 all the	17	A. There was rotations, but I would select	
18	way through I got hired as a deportation	18	to just stay there. I was familiar with the	
19	officer, which was 2011 2011? 2012? Whatever	19	concept and, and what was done there. I was one of	
20	it was, one of those two years.	20	the senior officers. I had been there for the	
21	So we've rotated for a period of time	21	longest period of time. Therefore, when new people	
22	every six months, and then it was every year. So I	22	came in, they just asked that I remain there to	
23	don't remember the exact amount.	23	train the other officers coming in, as far as what	
24	11 (1) And where were you stationed?	· · · //	was done.	
2.1	Q. Okay. And where were you stationed?	24	was done.	

UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA		Jun	e 0, 2014
		Page 13		Page 15
1	Q. And were your job duties different from	1	Q. Would there ever have been a day where	
2	your time at Broadview when you were at Stateville?	2	-	
3	A. Yes.	3	A. There could have been a day.	
4	Q. What were your job duties at	4	-	
5	Stateville?	5		
6	A. Stateville, we were there to interview	6		
7	the detainees and determine alienage or, you know,	7		
8	if they were subject to deportability or if they	8		
9	had been previously deported, and, of course, you	9		
10	know, their nationality.	10		
11	Q. Okay. When you transitioned to	11	A. Okay. James Moran, who no longer works	
12	Stateville, did you receive any new training for	12		
13	your job duties and	13		
14	A. I mean, other than, you know, what	14		
15	needed to be done, like, you know, writing up the	15		
16	detainers and, you know just how to basically go	16		
17	through the process of it, no, there was no major	17		
18	training.	18	•	
19	Q. Okay. And let's say for the writing of	19		
20	the detainers, who performed that training and how	20	individuals in the Bureau of Prisons.	
21	frequent?	21	Q. And what year would that have been?	
22	A. Well, I mean, I had been I had	22		
23	issued detainers before. It wasn't my first time	23	I wasn't there very long. Maybe half a year.	
24	writing a detainer. It was just a different area	24		
		Page 14		Page 16
				rage to
1	that I was doing it in.	1	•	
2	Q. Okay. And who did you report to at	2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3	Stateville?	3	,	
4	A. Initially, it was supervisory or an	4	·	
5	SIE, Sean Wright.	5	· ·	
6	Q. Could you spell that?	6		
7	A. S-E-A-N, W-R I G-H-T.	7	1	
8	Q. Thanks.	8	• •	
9	And what years would that have been?	9	•	
10	A. It was my entire time that I was at	10		
11	Stateville, he was my supervisor.	11		
12	Q. Okay. And did you have people who	12		
13	reported to you?	13		
14	A. I didn't have we were all the same.	14		
15	We were all IEAs. I had just been there the	15	alienage or whether or not the person was subject	
	-			
16	longest time when the other two individuals that	16	1 0	
16 17	longest time when the other two individuals that were working there came aboard, but then we kind	of 17	Q. And were there any mandatory processes	
16 17 18	longest time when the other two individuals that were working there came aboard, but then we kind were there for like a couple of years together.	of 17	Q. And were there any mandatory processes that you had to follow?	
16 17 18 19	longest time when the other two individuals that were working there came aboard, but then we kind were there for like a couple of years together. So, I mean, you can't say anyone was more seasoned	of 17 18 19	Q. And were there any mandatory processes that you had to follow?A. At the time that I was initially	
16 17 18 19 20	longest time when the other two individuals that were working there came aboard, but then we kind of were there for like a couple of years together. So, I mean, you can't say anyone was more seasoned than the other.	of 17 18 19 20	Q. And were there any mandatory processes that you had to follow?A. At the time that I was initially working, when I first started, you know, just	
16 17 18 19 20 21	longest time when the other two individuals that were working there came aboard, but then we kind of were there for like a couple of years together. So, I mean, you can't say anyone was more seasoned than the other. Q. Okay. On average, during your time at	of 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And were there any mandatory processes that you had to follow? A. At the time that I was initially working, when I first started, you know, just basically if a person was a U.S. citizen, you	
16 17 18 19 20 21	longest time when the other two individuals that were working there came aboard, but then we kind of were there for like a couple of years together. So, I mean, you can't say anyone was more seasoned than the other. Q. Okay. On average, during your time at Stateville, how many IEAs were onsite?	of 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And were there any mandatory processes that you had to follow? A. At the time that I was initially working, when I first started, you know, just basically if a person was a U.S. citizen, you wouldn't issue a detainer. And if they were a	
16 17 18 19 20 21	longest time when the other two individuals that were working there came aboard, but then we kind of were there for like a couple of years together. So, I mean, you can't say anyone was more seasoned than the other. Q. Okay. On average, during your time at	of 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And were there any mandatory processes that you had to follow? A. At the time that I was initially working, when I first started, you know, just basically if a person was a U.S. citizen, you wouldn't issue a detainer. And if they were a lawful permanent resident, you know, make sure tha	t

		Page 17		Page 19
1	indeed, were deportable; that it was, indeed, a	1	would have come through him.	
2	crime involving moral turpitude.	2	_	
3	Q. Okay. And then are there certain	3	-	
4	documents that have to be part of the detainer	4		
5	issuance process?	5	-	
6	A. No. I mean, you run checks to verify,	6		
7	you know, whether or not a person is subject to	7		
8	deportability or to actually have an investigation	8		
9	conducted by ICE, but there wasn't anything except	9		
10	for the detainer that was lodged, which is the	10	-	
11	I-247.	11		
12	Q. Okay. And what you mentioned some	12		
13	checks. What checks would you run?	13		
14	A. I mean, verify I verify through	14		
15	Citizenship and Immigration Services, or the CIS	15		
L6	system, if they, indeed, had any status in the	16	_	
L7	United States, like if they were a lawful permanent	17		
L /	resident, or if they were in process of adjusting,	18		
19	U.S. citizenship, that type of stuff.	19		
20	Q. Okay. And then did you also issue	20	•	
21	detainers at Stateville?	21		
22	A. Yes.	22		
23	Q. Okay. And did you receive any training	23		
23 24	upon joining Stateville on the detainer issuance	24		
		Page 18		Page 20
1	process?	1	know, if the person is a U.S. citizen I mean,	
2	A. No. I mean, you on, on the job,	2	_	
3	they, you know, tell you what you should look for,	3		
4	what you shouldn't do. I mean, obviously it was	4		
5	the same thing. You're not going to issue a	5		
6	detainer on a person that doesn't need a detainer	6		
7	issued, like a U.S. citizen or a person you may	7		
8	believe to be a U.S. citizen, or a lawful permanent	8		
9	resident that does not fall into the realm of	9		
LO	deportability.	10		
L1	Q. And when you say, you know, someone	11		
12	would tell you that, would that be Mr. Wright that	12		
13	you were referring	13		
14	A. Well, I mean, it could be headquarter's	14		
15	directives or it could be our field office	15		
16	director. I mean, it could come through my first	16		
L7	line of, of first chain of command, but it would	17	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	usually come from above him.	18		
LX	Q. And do you know the names of who that	19		
		1 - 3	-	
19		20	O Was there any training about detainers	
L9 20	would have come from?	20	, ,	
19 20 21	would have come from? A. Mr A-5 well, he's A-5 now, but	21	there?	
18 19 20 21 22	would have come from?		there? A. I don't recall.	

		Page 21		Page 23
1	A. Okay.		that is telling us that he was born on a military	
2	Q there's a statement that says: As a		base is a U.S. citizen.	
3	matter of law, ICE cannot assert its civil		Q. And in those cases where someone was	
4	immigration enforcement authority to arrest and/or	4	interviewed and said they were a citizen but wasn't	
5	detain a USC.	!	5 in the system, were there other checks you would	
6	A. Uh-huh, yes.		perform to verify?	
7	Q. Is that your understanding of the		A. There's no way to verify a person was	
8	detainer policy?		born on a military base unless they produce a born	
9	A. Yes.	9	9 abroad certificate, but, you know, that just as	
10	Q. And do you understand that that applies	10		
11	to issuance of all immigration detainers?	1:		
12	A. Can	1:		
13	Q. Sure.	13	_	
14	A you ex	14		
15	Q. Do you think this statement applies to	15		
16	all potential detainers or detainers that are	10		
17	issued?	1	-	
18	MR. KUHN: Object to the form of the	18		
19	question.	19		
20	You can answer, if you can.	20	-	
21	A. I mean, I'm not, I'm not quite	2		
22	understanding what you're trying to	22		
23	Q. Sure. Do you think this statement	2:		
24	that policy applies to all immigration detainers?	24	•	
		Page 22		Page 2
1	A. I mean, what this is saying is that if	=	1 A. I I'm not understanding.	
2	a person is a U.S. citizen, yes. But in the realms		Q. Sure. Were there other circumstances	
3	of the service, you have to verify whether a person		where there would be a discrepancy between an	
4	is a U.S. citizen or not.	4	4 interview and the system information regarding	
5	Q. Sure. And, and were you ever		A. I mean, if they weren't born abroad, we	
6	instructed on procedures that you had to follow to		wouldn't be using the systems. So, I mean, that,	
7	ensure that you didn't issue a detainer against a		that just being said, you would verify if, like,	
8	U.S. citizen?	8	they were adopted children or children of	
9	A. We were told if we believed a person	2	9 individuals that later naturalized. I mean, you	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LO	was a U.S. citizen, we should not issue a detainer.	10		
	was a U.S. citizen, we should not issue a detainer. Q. And were there any specific procedures	10	would go through the systems to verify the	
11	•		would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt	
11 12	Q. And were there any specific procedures	13	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then	
11 12 13	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they	1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation.	
11 12 13 14	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen?	1: 1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures	
11 12 13 14	 Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our 	1: 1: 1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy	
11 12 13 14 15	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our systems, which is CIS, to verify whether or not,	1: 1: 1: 1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy between the system and an interview?	
11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our systems, which is CIS, to verify whether or not, you know, they are, indeed, a lawful permanent	1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy between the system and an interview? A. I mean, everyone has their own working	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our systems, which is CIS, to verify whether or not, you know, they are, indeed, a lawful permanent resident or the child of I mean, naturalized U.S. citizen, or any other type of things.	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy between the system and an interview? A. I mean, everyone has their own working style. So, I mean, everyone works differently. I	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our systems, which is CIS, to verify whether or not, you know, they are, indeed, a lawful permanent resident or the child of I mean, naturalized U.S. citizen, or any other type of things. But, I mean, there could be any other	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy between the system and an interview? A. I mean, everyone has their own working style. So, I mean, everyone works differently. I can't say that this is what you need to do. I	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our systems, which is CIS, to verify whether or not, you know, they are, indeed, a lawful permanent resident or the child of I mean, naturalized U.S. citizen, or any other type of things. But, I mean, there could be any other reasons why a person is a U.S. citizen. For	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy between the system and an interview? A. I mean, everyone has their own working style. So, I mean, everyone works differently. I can't say that this is what you need to do. I mean, you just go through what you feel you need to	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our systems, which is CIS, to verify whether or not, you know, they are, indeed, a lawful permanent resident or the child of I mean, naturalized U.S. citizen, or any other type of things. But, I mean, there could be any other reasons why a person is a U.S. citizen. For instance, they could have been in a born abroad	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy between the system and an interview? A. I mean, everyone has their own working style. So, I mean, everyone works differently. I can't say that this is what you need to do. I mean, you just go through what you feel you need to do in order to determine whether or not a person is	
110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 23	Q. And were there any specific procedures you had to follow to confirm or verify that they were U.S. citizen or not a U.S. citizen? A. Part of our job is to verify our systems, which is CIS, to verify whether or not, you know, they are, indeed, a lawful permanent resident or the child of I mean, naturalized U.S. citizen, or any other type of things. But, I mean, there could be any other reasons why a person is a U.S. citizen. For	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2:	would go through the systems to verify the information. And, you know, if there was a doubt that the person may not be a citizen, well, then you would ask for more documentation. Q. Okay. Are there any other procedures that you have to follow to note a discrepancy between the system and an interview? A. I mean, everyone has their own working style. So, I mean, everyone works differently. I can't say that this is what you need to do. I mean, you just go through what you feel you need to do in order to determine whether or not a person is a U.S. citizen, whether or not you believe a person	

_		Page 25	Page
1	Q. And are you aware of whether it's	1	the Child Citizenship Act
2	possible to derive citizenship through one's	2	-
3	parents?	3	-
4	A. Yes.	4	
5	Q. Are you familiar with the Child	5	
6	Citizenship Act of 2000?	6	
7	A. I am.	7	
8	Q. What's your understanding of that form	8	
9	of derivative citizenship?	9	
0	A. Well, if they are a child of a U.S.	10	•
1	citizen, depending on when they were born,	11	
2	according to the nationality charts, they can or	12	
3		13	-
ء 4	cannot have direct citizenship. And we would	14	•
1 5	usually go through the naturalization charts to		
5 6	verify when they were born, which parent or if both parents were U.S. citizens, and whether or not	15 16	
	they fell into the realm of being a U.S. citizen.		
7 8	·	17	1 1 /
8 9	After 2000, only one parent needed to be a U.S. citizen. So as long as they were under the age of	18 19	•
9	18, then they would derive.		
		20	
1 2	Q. Okay. Does someone who derives citizenship through the Child Citizenship Act need	21	
3			
ა 4	to file paperwork or an application to become a citizen?	23	, ,
1	A Voc they do	Page 26	Page
1	A. Yes, they do.	1	3 31
2	Q. What paperwork would that be?	2	•
3	A. It would be the N-600, I believe.	3	•
4	Don't quote me on that. I'm not quite certain.	4	5 1
5	But they would have to go through CIS in order to	5	· ·
6	get the certificate of naturalization. And then it	6	· ·
7	should be updated in the CIS system, but it's not	7	
8	always there.	8	•
9	Q. And why wouldn't it be there in the CIS	9	
0	system?	10	·
1	A. I have no idea.	11	•
2	Q. Would it be your responsibility to	12	, ,
3	update the CIS?	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	A. No, that is a completely different	14	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
5	agency.	15	,
6	Q. Okay. And so it's your understanding	16	
7	that they are not the child would not be a	17	
	citizen until the N-600 was approved?	18	
8	A. No, they're they would still be a	19	
8 9			Q. When you left The Deport Center, did
8 9 0	citizen, I mean or there could be the	20	
8 9 0 1	citizen, I mean or there could be the possibility that they are a citizen, they just	21	you choose to be assigned to Stateville, or were
8 9 0 1	citizen, I mean or there could be the possibility that they are a citizen, they just failed to file the paperwork sometimes.	21 22	you choose to be assigned to Stateville, or were you assigned there?
.8 .9 .20 .1 .22	citizen, I mean or there could be the possibility that they are a citizen, they just	21	you choose to be assigned to Stateville, or were you assigned there?

		Page 29		Paç	ge 31
1	Q. Okay. Well, sorry, when you left		1	all those, are all those mandatory items that an	
2	Broadview, did you		2	inmate has to go through?	
3	A. I was asked to go there because there		3	A. I don't know if they're mandatory	
4	was no one that wanted to go. It wasn't by choice,		4	because it's not a ICE document; it's a Stateville	
5	but I enjoyed it after I was there.		5	document. But I do know that they were supposed to	
6	Q. And do you remember who assigned you		6	go through them. I don't know how mandatory they	
7	there?		7	are.	
8	A. Supervisor Sean Wright.		8	Q. Okay. And do you happen to know how it	
9	Q. And was there anyone else there other		9	was determined that an inmate would meet with ICE	
10	than the IEA agents and Mr. Wright?		10	or not meet with ICE?	
11	A. At Stateville?		11	A. If they met with any of these	
12	Q. At Stateville.		12	individuals, we would highlight that they had come	
13	A. When I first started at Stateville, I		13	through us.	
14	worked with Jenifer Wall and with Glenn Torres.		14	Q. Okay. And was there any other	
15	Q. Were there any other ICE I guess my		15	information that you'd have to record from your	
16	question is: Were there any other individuals with		16	interview?	
17	different titles from ICE who worked at the		17	A. If we placed a detainer, we would write	
18	facility then?		18	detainer next to ICE. But other than that, I don't	
19	A. No.		19	know what anything no one else would write on	
20	Q. Okay.		20	the sheet	
21	A. Supervisor Wright didn't work at the		21	Q. Okay.	
22	facility.		22	A because it's a state, it's a state	
23	Q. Okay. And where was he located?		23	sheet.	
24	A. He was located at Broadview, because we		24	Q. Sure. Approximately how many inmates	
				(
		Page 30		Paç	ge 32
1	had a CAP team at Broadview as well.		1	per day would you screen at Stateville?	
2	Q. Thanks. Turn to Exhibit 2.		2	A. It varied. It could be a slow day and	
3	(Exhibit 2 marked).		3	it could be 69, and it could be a very heavy day	
4	Q. Do you recognize this document?		4	and it could be over 300.	
5	A. Yes, I do.		5	Q. And you mentioned that you would run	
6	Q. And what is this document?		6	some system checks on certain individuals. Is that	
7	A. It is the intake sheet for the		7	before you met with them through this screening	
8	Stateville Correctional facility.		8	process?	
9	Q. And does this discuss a process that an		9	A. That would be if we determined that we	
10	inmate has to go through when they arrive at		10	needed to further investigate the case or their	
11	Stateville?		11	Q. And so you would never run a system	
12	A. I mean, it states basically property,		12	check before an inmate arrived for processing?	
13	which I'm unfamiliar with, and then there's		13	A. No.	
14	different process there's a processing checklist		14	Q. Okay. So you don't receive a list in	
15	on the right-hand side. And usually, I mean, it		15	advance of someone who is coming?	
16	didn't happen all the time, depending on the time		16	A. We would receive a list, but it was as	
17	frame that was allowed during the day, they would		17	they were coming through. It wasn't like a day	
18	see these the people on the, the right side as		18	before. It was the same day.	
19	part of their intake. But once, like I said,		19	Q. Okay. And when would you determine	
	L · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
20	again, sometimes it didn't happen due to the fact		20	whether you needed to run a system check on one of	
20 21			20 21	whether you needed to run a system check on one of the inmates you screened?	
	again, sometimes it didn't happen due to the fact				
21	again, sometimes it didn't happen due to the fact that the jail came in late, there, there was too		21	the inmates you screened?	
21 22	again, sometimes it didn't happen due to the fact that the jail came in late, there, there was too many people.		21 22	the inmates you screened? A. After an interview, if, you know, they	

	Pa	age 33	Page 35
1	interview. Sometimes the system check was	1	A. I mean, once again, that would be at
2	necessary; sometimes it wasn't.	2	the discretion of the officer. Not to say you
3	Q. Okay. Do you typically interview all	3	know, I'm not going to speak for anyone else, but
4	the individuals then that come before	4	it would be at your discretion whether or not you
5	A. Every individual that came through the	5	would issue a detainer or not based on the
6	jail.	6	information that you gathered.
7	Q. And were there specific questions you	7	Q. Was there any process in place that
8	always had to ask?	8	required you to speak to another officer if there
9	A. No.	9	was a difficult decision?
10	Q. What were the questions you had to ask,	10	A. Not really, no. I mean,
11	or what information were you seeking?	11	unless there it was, you know, something
12	A. There were basic questions just, you	12	difficult, we had been around long enough to
13	know, as far as, you know, their inmate number,	13	determine whether or not we believed the person was
14	what they were arrested for. You know, I mean, it,	14	a U.S. citizen or not.
15	it would vary. I mean, it, it wasn't definite	15	Q. Okay. Were did you have permission
16	every day. But one of the questions we all usually	16	as an ICE agent to update an individual's
L7	ask was what city were you born in or where were	17	electronic records?
18	you born or where did you go to high school, just	18	A. I'm not following you.
19	something to let us know, you know, what you	19	Q. There are a variety sounds like
20	know, whether or not they were raised here, whether	20	there are a variety of systems that contain
21	we needed to go into a further interview with them.	21	information
22	Q. Okay. And were you ever required to	22	A. Uh-huh.
23	document that you inquired about citizenship and	23	Q about an individual. Were you
24	what answer you received?	24	authorized to update any of that information?
	Pi	age 34	Page 36
1	A. No.	1	A. I mean, the only systems that we would
2	Q. Okay. Would you have kept notes on the	2	update to record information are is a system
3	information that an inmate gave to you?	3	where we would actually input individuals that are
4	A. Not I mean, depending on the case.	4	going to be placed in immigration proceedings. And
5	I mean, if it was, if it was something where we're	5	a U.S. citizen would not go into that system unless
6	going to place a detainer, we would probably keep	6	they were, they were being pursued by us because
7	notes. If it was somewhere we believe the person	7	they were involved in illegal activity with
8	is a U.S. citizen, I mean, we wouldn't there	8	immigration.
9	would be no notes, I mean, just a basic yes or no	9	Q. And what system would that be?
LO	or, you know, system checks, and that you know,	10	A. It's called ENFORCE.
L1	whether the child was an adopted U.S. citizen,	11	Q. Okay. So if you had an individual that
12	whether he was the child of a U.S. citizen, whether	12	you determined to be a U.S. citizen, would you be
13	he naturalized, you know, whatever the case may be	13	required to update, if it wasn't already recorded
L 4	with the individual.	14	in the ENFORCE system, that they were a citizen?
15	Q. Okay. And if you determined an	15	A. Not if they're not if I believe they
16	individual is removable, what would you do in that	16	were a citizen. There would be nothing I would
L7	context?	17	document.
18	A. We would have them sit down and, you	18	Q. Okay. Do you know how long it would
19	know, get their basic information, you know, as far	19	take to update an individual's citizenship status
20	as what you know, where they were born and, you	20	on the ENFORCE database?
	know, just basic biographical information.	21	A. They would not be in that system. They
		1	
21		22	would be in CIS.
21 22 23	Q. Okay. And then were there any procedures that had to be followed if an inmate was	22 23	would be in CIS. Q. Okay. And were you authorized to

		Page 37		Page 39
1	A. No, that's another agency.		1	pending petition. And then if we believe that they
2	Q. Are you familiar with the Secure		2	could be a U.S. citizen and they claim to have a
3	Communities Processing Center?		3	U.S. passport, I didn't have access to it, but we
4	A. I am aware of it.		4	would sometimes contact the Law Enforcement Support
5	Q. Okay. What is your understanding of		5	Center so that they could check the State
6	that?		6	Department website to verify for a issued passport.
7	A. That they interview, or possibly I'm		7	Q. Okay. Would you ever run any
8	not sure how I honestly don't know how it works.		8	additional checks on your own outside of those
9	Q. Okay.		9	systems on any individuals?
10	A. I've never worked it. But I know that	10		A. That there wouldn't be any other
11	they get hits from law enforcement facilities. And	1:		systems that we would run.
12	then how it is that they lodge the detainers or	1:		Q. Would there be any hard-copy records
13	whatever I am unfamiliar with.	1:		that you saw about an individual?
14	Q. You are aware that they do review the	1.		A. Yes, there would be.
15	records of inmates screened by ICE agents at	1		Q. What records would those be?
16	Stateville?	1.		A. There would be the printouts that we
17	A. I know that they would receive hits.	1		would print of the records that we ran.
18	Whether or not they, you know, placed detainers at	1:		Q. Okay. So nothing other than from those
19	Stateville, usually if there was a detainer that	1:		systems?
20	was sent to the Stateville facility, it was	2		A. No.
21	processed through us by one of the administrative	2:		
22	staff there. But if it would have gone to another	2:		Q. Okay. A. No.
23	jail, one of the other facilities, we would have	2.		Q. Would you ever request to see an A
24	been unaware of it.	2.		File?
24	been unaware of it.	2.	-	THE:
		Page 38		Page 40
1	Q. Okay. Did you ever work together with		1	A. Not necessarily, no.
2	any employees of Secure Communities regarding		2	Q. Would you ever inquire into an
3	detainers?		3	individual's parents as part of your electronic
4	A. No, it wasn't our practice.		4	systems checks?
5	Q. Okay. Turning back to the ENFORCE,		5	A. Yes.
6	would you ever update an ENFORCE entry for a lawful		6	Q. And under what circumstances would you
7	permanent resident who you determined to be a U.S.		7	look to parents?
8	citizen?		, 8	A. If the person claimed to be a U.S.
9	A. No.		9	citizen and the individual did not come up as a
10	Q. Do you know whose responsibility that	10		U.S. citizen, and just to verify that the parent,
11	would be?	1:		indeed, was a U.S. citizen.
12	A. They would not be entered in the system	1:		Q. And is it required that you look into
13	if we believe that they are a U.S. citizen.	1:		the parents' electronic records if that if an
14	Q. Okay. You mentioned you've run a few	1.		individual makes that claim?
15	checks on inmates that you process.	1		A. It wasn't required. Like I said,
16	A. Correct.	10		everyone works differently to verify how they
17	Q. Could you name the systems that you	1		believe or whether they believe a person's a U.S.
18	would run those through?	1:		citizen.
19	A. There would be the it would be CIS,	1:		Q. And was it ever your process to take a
20	Citizenship and Immigration Services; CLAIMS, I	2		sworn statement or a recorded statement from an
21	don't know what the acronym stands for, but basic	2:		individual?
22	that's where any of the paperwork that they have	2:		A. Not unless we felt the person was lying
23	filed maybe as far as like the N-400 or when	2:		to us, no.
24	they're renewing green cards or if they have a	2		Q. Okay. Do you know if it was the
	, groom can as on in they make a		-	

	Page	41	Page 43
1	practice of other IEA agents to take sworn	1	Q. Do you remember the names of any of
2	statements or recorded statements?	2	their employees that is
3	A. I am unaware.	3	A. I did not work with them, so I do not
4	Q. Okay. Do you provide any sort of daily	4	know any of the names of their employees.
5	summary for the inmates that you processed on a	5	Q. Okay. Do you know what the Impact
6	single day?	6	Incarceration Program is?
7	A. Yes, we had a, a log where we would	7	A. No.
8	list the individuals that we encountered that are	8	Q. Turning back to the log data, was any
9	foreign-born nationals, and we would produce that	9	of that information of your daily log reports, was
10	document to our supervisors just so that they knew	10	that incorporated into ENFORCE, as far as you're
11	the individuals that we had encountered. But that	11	aware?
12	was it.	12	A. No. Unless we were issuing a detainer,
13	I mean, they would we would just say	13	it would not go into ENFORCE.
14	if we issued a detainer or if, or if they were a	14	Q. Not particular to you guys, would
15	lawful permanent resident that were not deportable,	15	anyone else who saw the logs update ENFORCE? Are
16	or if we came to the conclusion that they may be a	16	you aware of that?
17	U.S. citizen.	17	A. Those logs were not entered into
18	Q. Was it a requirement of the log to	18	ENFORCE because it, it was just a manner of
19	identify citizenship status?	19	keeping of notifying our supervisors what had
20	A. Yes.	20	happened throughout the day. ENFORCE was only used
21	Q. Okay. Did you ever take I guess,	21	when we were going to lodge a detainer against a
22	did you ever take a sworn statement of someone who	22	person.
23	claimed to be a U.S. citizen?	23	Q. Okay. You mentioned earlier that you
24	A. I do not remember. It could have	24	became aware that a detainer was issued against
_	Page		Page 44
1	happened.	1	Mr. Mayorov. When did you first learn of that?
2	Q. Was that something that an inmate would	2	A. I'm not quite sure. It could have been
3	have requested that you take, or would that have	3	a year ago. I, I may have it confused. There's
4	been your choice?	4	another ongoing investigation. But I was just told
5	A. No, that would have been our choice.	5	to facilitate any records, if I had any, but we
6	Q. Okay. Is the inmate allowed to provide	6	would have never held on to any documents that
7	a statement to you regarding their citizenship?	7	pertain to a person that we believed to be a U.S.
8	A. They could, but, I mean, I don't know	8	citizen.
9	why an individual would request a sworn statement.	9	Q. Okay. Now, regarding the Impact
10	I'm not even sure if they would know what a sworn	10	Incarceration program, you know, another
11	statement was.	11	terminology that is used is a Boot Camp at
12	Q. Okay. In regards to those logs, were	12	Stateville. Were you familiar with that program?
13	these kind of kept anywhere on your facility, or	13	A. Yes, I am familiar with Boot Camp.
14	were they sent off-site?	14	Q. And what's your understanding of the
15	A. Our logs were done electronically and	15	Boot Camp?
16	they were saved on our or sent via e-mail. I'm	16	A. The Boot Camp program is something that
17	not sure if they were saved on a drive or not.	17	an individual is given by the, the judge where
18	Q. Okay. Does ICE use any outside	18	the a detainee or individual that's incarcerated
19	companies to assist in the screening process?	19	gets. In the Boot Camp program, basically they are
20	A. There was an outside company in Secure	20	serving less time based on good behavior and based
	Communities. That contracting company is now gone.	21	on the fact that they complete the Boot Camp and go
21			
22	Q. And who is that company?	22	out into go back into society and not violate
	Q. And who is that company?A. I don't know what the name of the company was, to be quite honest.	22 23 24	out into go back into society and not violate any laws; if not, they have to serve their full time.

	Pag	ge 45	Page 47
1	But basically with the Boot Camp	1	any special steps or screen him differently from
2	program, when they came in, it could be a period of	2	any other individual?
3	time. It, it I mean, it they didn't go into	3	A. No.
4	Boot Camp immediately. There was a period of time	4	Q. Okay. Were you ever aware that Secure
5	before they could find a facility where they can	5	Communities Processing Center reviewed
6	actually engage into Boot Camp depending on the	6	Mr. Mayorov's records after you processed him?
7	availability.	7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. And there was a Boot Camp at	8	Q. Okay. Turn to Exhibit 3.
9	Stateville?	9	(Exhibit 3 marked).
10	A. I don't know.	10	Q. Have you seen this document before?
11	Q. Okay. Were you involved with the	11	A. I don't believe so.
12	program then at all?	12	Q. Okay. I guess
13	A. No.	13	A. I might have.
14	Q. No?	14	Q. Sure. And then I guess if we turn to
15	Were you aware of the eligibility	15	the very last page, maybe that might be more on
16	requirements for the program?	16	point.
17	A. I do know that at Stateville, if there	17	A. The very last page?
18	was an immigration hold or detainer, they were not	18	Q. Yeah, it should have a 2 on the no,
19	allowed to be involved in certain of their	19	I'm sorry, second to last page.
20	programs. I believe Boot Camp might have been one	20	A. Oh, okay. This one.
21	of them.	21	Q. Have you ever seen this document
22	Q. And what was your basis for knowing	22	before?
23	that policy?	23	A. Yes. This was one of the sheets that
24	A. The inmates themselves would tell you.	24	we received on a daily basis from the state.
	Pa	ge 46	Page 48
1	Q. Okay. So you never saw it in writing?	1	Q. Okay. And is this part of that log
2	A. No.	2	form, or is this a different
3	Q. Okay. Were you ever aware of an	3	A. This is something that the state
4	individual who was allowed to participate in that	4	generates
5	Boot Camp program with an immigration detainer	5	Q. Okay.
6	placed against them?	6	A to basically annotate who has come
7	A. I am not aware.	7	into the facility for the day. And that's how they
8	Q. Okay. Do you ever receive information	8	kept track of the process or whatever that they
9	about whether an inmate had been selected to	9	went through, you know, how many people they should
10	participate in the program when you screen them?	10	have in the area. But this would just tell us who
11	A. The only knowledge we would have if a	11	was coming in for the day.
12	person was going to Boot Camp is on the intake	12	Q. Okay. And do you recognize the
13	sheet I don't know if you have one or not	13	handwriting on this document?
14	the it would say next to their names "boot," and	14	A. Yes, I do.
15	that's how we knew that they were part of a or	15	Q. Is that and whose handwriting would
16	they were supposed to go into Boot Camp.	16	that
17	Q. Any differences in how you'd screen	17	A. That would be my handwriting.
18	that individual versus someone else?	18	Q. Okay. And do you see where it says
19	A. No.	19	"child of USC"?
20	Q. Okay. Were you aware that Mr. Mayorov	20	A. Yes.
	was to be part of the Impact Incarceration Program?	21	Q. Where did you get that information
			Z. There are you get that information
21		22	from?
	A. I mean, I'm sure when he came across me and I interviewed him, I probably saw it.	22 23	from? A. I to be quite honest, I do not

	TED STATES OF AMERICA		June 0, 2014
		Page 49	Page 51
1	it could have been because I may have conducted the		I IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	interview myself and ran the checks, or it could		2 EASTERN DIVISION
3	have been one of the other agents in the		S SERGEY MAYOROV)
4	facility one of the other IEs that was working		4 Plaintiff,) No. 13 CV 5249
5	with me that could have run the checks and given me		vs.) Judge Rebecca R.
6	the information and I would have written it on the	'	5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Pallmeyer
7	sheet.		7 Defendant.)
8	Q. Okay. And did you would you have		3 9
9	logged this information anywhere else?	10	
10	A. On our sheet that we produced to our	11	
11	_		
12	supervisors for the amount of work that we had done	13	
	for the day based on the detainers or nondetainers	14	
13	that we had issued with foreign-born nationals.	15	
14	Q. And that would be the log you described	16	
15	earlier?	1	
16	A. Correct.	18	
17	Q. Okay. Would this who else would	19	
18	receive this document here?	20	
19	A. Everyone at the jail that was working	2	of 2014. L
20	in the intake center.	22	Notary Public
21	Q. Okay. And do you know if this document	23	3
22	would ever be passed on to the Secure Communities	24	4
23	Processing Center?		
24	A. We would scan the document and save it,		
-		D 50	
		Page 50	Page 52
1	but I don't remember if it I mean, I don't know		L STATE OF ILLINOIS) SS:
2	if it would have been passed on to them or if they		2 COUNTY OF COOK)
3	would have had access to it.		T Malader A Manie de hamaber gamtifer
4	Q. And would you have had to discuss with		that MAYRA REYNOSO was duly sworn by me to testify the whole truth, that the foregoing deposition was recorded stenographically by me and was reduced to computerized transcript under my direction, and
5	the supervisor that you had encountered a U.S.		computerized transcript under my direction, and that said deposition constitutes a true record of
6	citizen that was not verified by the system check?		the testimony given by said witness.
7	A. No. I mean, we just logged it in the		I further certify that the reading and signing of the deposition was not waived, and the deposition was submitted to James M. Kuhn, Sr.,
8	log and we produced the log to our supervisor.		deposition was submitted to James M. Kuhn, Sr., defendant's counsel, for signature. Pursuant to
9	Q. Okay.	10	O defendant's counsel, for signature. Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of Procedure, if D deponent does not appear or read and sign the
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10	(Discussion off the record).	13	deposition within 30 days, the deposition may be used as fully as though signed, and this
10 11	(Discussion off the record). MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have.	1:	deposition within 30 days, the deposition may be to used as fully as though signed, and this certificate will then evidence such failure to appear as the reason for signature not being
	,		certificate will then evidence such failure to appear as the reason for signature not being obtained.
11	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have.	12	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any
11 12	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve	1:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such
11 12 13	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up.	1: 1:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.
11 12 13 14	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have	1; 1; 14 1; 16	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014.
11 12 13 14 15	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript?	1: 1: 1: 1:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014.
11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript? MR. RAJADURAI: Yes.	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014. Illinois CSR No. 084.004772
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript? MR. RAJADURAI: Yes. THE REPORTER: Mr. Fleming, do you want	1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 2;	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014. Illinois CSR No. 084.004772 Melody A. Monk, RPR
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript? MR. RAJADURAI: Yes. THE REPORTER: Mr. Fleming, do you want a copy?	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014. Illinois CSR No. 084.004772 Melody A. Monk, RPR BARKLEY COURT REPORTERS 22 West Washington Street
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript? MR. RAJADURAI: Yes. THE REPORTER: Mr. Fleming, do you want a copy? MR. FLEMING: We I can just get a	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014. Illinois CSR No. 084.004772 Melody A. Monk, RPR BARKLEY COURT REPORTERS 22 West Washington Street 15th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript? MR. RAJADURAI: Yes. THE REPORTER: Mr. Fleming, do you want a copy? MR. FLEMING: We I can just get a copy from him.	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014. Illinois CSR No. 084.004772 Melody A. Monk, RPR BARKLEY COURT REPORTERS 22 West Washington Street 15th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 800.222.1231
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript? MR. RAJADURAI: Yes. THE REPORTER: Mr. Fleming, do you want a copy? MR. FLEMING: We I can just get a	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014. Illinois CSR No. 084.004772 Melody A. Monk, RPR BARKLEY COURT REPORTERS 22 West Washington Street 15th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 800.222.1231
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RAJADURAI: That's all I have. MR. KUHN: I'm good. We'll reserve signature. I'll take a copy of whatever they have written up. THE REPORTER: Do you want to order transcript? MR. RAJADURAI: Yes. THE REPORTER: Mr. Fleming, do you want a copy? MR. FLEMING: We I can just get a copy from him.	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2:	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of June 2014. Illinois CSR No. 084.004772 Melody A. Monk, RPR BARKLEY COURT REPORTERS 22 West Washington Street 15th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 800.222.1231